



State of Utah

JON M. HUNTSMAN, JR.
Governor

GARY R. HERBERT
Lieutenant Governor

Department of Administrative Services

KIMBERLY K. HOOD
Executive Director

Division of Facilities Construction and Management

DAVID G. BUXTON
Director

ADDENDUM #2

Date: 25 April 2008

To: Interested Parties

From: Kent Beers, Project Manager

Reference: Salt Lake Community College
College Site and Facilities Development
DFCM Project No. 08033660

Subject: **Addendum No. 2**

Pages	<u>Addendum</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>page</u>
	Total	2	pages

Note: *This Addendum shall be included as part of the Contract Documents. Items in this Addendum apply to all drawings and specification sections whether referenced or not involving the portion of the work added, deleted, modified, or otherwise addressed in the Addendum.*

While we contend that SB220 should only be potentially applicable to a contract issued after the effective date of said bill, this is to clarify that for purposes of this contract, regardless of the execution or effective dates of this contract, the status of Utah Law and remedies available to the State of Utah and DFCM, as it relates to any matter referred to or affected by said SB220, shall be the Utah law in effect at the time of the issuance of this Addendum.

2.1 SCHEDULE CHANGES – There are no changes to the Project Schedule.

2.2 GENERAL ITEMS - Questions & Answers

Question #1

I wanted to verify the budget amount you mentioned. It will be between \$250 thousand and \$300 thousand, correct?

Answer: The budget is \$250,000 to \$300,000.

Question #2

The Management Plan and the SOQ state that seven copies of each are due. Are the seven copies of each to be submitted individually or can we submit seven “packets” each containing Past Performance and References, a Management Plan and our SOQ?

Answer: Submit seven (7) “packets” each containing Past Performance and References, a Management Plan and your firm’s Statement of Qualifications.

Question #3

On page 3, first paragraph mentions infrastructure. On page 4 it also mentions infrastructure but nothing about utilities, wet or dry. Please clarify.

Answer: When referring to infrastructure in this context, the school’s idea was to have a fairly “broad” look at the capacities of existing systems including but not limited to: heating, cooling, power supply, irrigation capacity, natural gas, etc. For example, at the Jordan Campus, at what state of build-out would we expect to reach the capacity of the existing boilers, chillers, etc. How much square footage could be added before those needs are triggered and how do we “broadly” plan for those types of needs? We also have an irrigation well on the Jordan site. At what point does it make sense to disconnect from culinary water and activate the well with its associated necessary components? These are a few examples of what we were anticipating for consideration.

Question #4

Will an energy audit be necessary?

Answer: An energy audit(s) will NOT be necessary.

End of Addendum #2